NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAR 6 2003

CATHY A. CATTERSON

No. 01-17208

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

D.C. No. CV-01-02280-WHA

MEMORANDUM*

DANIEL CALABRIA,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FRANKLIN TEMPLETON SERVICES, INC.; FRANKLIN/TEMPLETON DISTRIBUTORS, INC.; TEMPLETON WORLDWIDE,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California William H. Alsup, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 17, 2003** San Francisco, California

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, NOONAN and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Appellant Daniel Calabria appeals the district court's dismissal of his Petition to Modify, Correct, and Otherwise Confirm an Arbitration Award as timebarred. We affirm.

The issue in this case is whether the Federal Arbitration Act's ("FAA") three-month time limit applies to Calabria's motion to modify his arbitration award, or whether the Florida time limit applies. To agree to state law rules that are inconsistent with the FAA's rules, the parties' intent to be bound by such rules must be clear. See Sovak v. Chugai Pharm. Co., 280 F.3d 1266, 1269 (9th Cir. 2002). The general Florida choice-of-law provision in the Severance Agreement at issue in this case is insufficient to overcome the presumption that the FAA's rules control as to procedure. See Mastrobuono v. Shearson Lehman Hutton, Inc., 514 U.S. 52, 63-64 (1995).

The district court correctly ruled that the time limit to modify an arbitration award is not substantive law and is therefore governed by the FAA. That three month limit was not met.

AFFIRMED.